

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/786,658	02/25/2004	James B. Roane	24310-00005	2856
24919 MCAFEE & T.	7590 12/29/200	EXAMINER		
TENTH FLOO	R, TWO LEADERSHI	WERNER, JONATHAN S		
211 NORTH R OKLAHOMA	OBINSON CITY, OK 73102	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3732	
				DELUEDVIA
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/29/2006	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/786,658	ROANE, JAMES B.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jonathan Werner	3732	

	Examine	AILOIIIL				
•	Jonathan Werner	3732				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 12/8/06 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION	TION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOW	ANCE.				
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.			
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
NOTICE OF APPEAL	oliones with 27 CER 44 27 mount be	filed within two month	as of the data of			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of the appeal. Since			
B. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	. will not be entered b	ecause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below			_			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected cialins.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		mpharit / monamont	(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	·	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		II be entered and an o	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7, 9-18, and 20-22</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
3. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	it before or on the date of filing a N	otice of Appeal will no	ot he entered			
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	id sufficient reasons why the affidat	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
snowing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessarian. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	-					
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after e	inity is below or attac	ilou.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	Jonathan W	leneur			
MELBA N. BUMGARNER Jonathan Werner Examiner						
MELBA N. BUMGARNER						

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly amended claims recite limitations that raise new issues requiring further consideration and/or search. For example, claims 1 and 12 recite the new limitations that the configuration of the cutting edges permits operation of the instrument in either a clockwise or counter-clockwise direction, and that at least one cutting edge runs from the bi-conical shape of the distal end to the upper end of the working length of the instrument.